

**Utah Solar Regional Mitigation Strategy
April 19-20, 2016**

Group Discussions:

Part 1 - Residual Impacts

**Part 2 - Data Sources, Condition and Trends, and
Residual Impacts Warranting Compensatory Mitigation**

1. Please review the resource area impact assessments for the three SEZs as a group, and provide comments or questions about the assessments for each resource.

2. Think about avoidance and minimization measures (on-site mitigation, see draft impact tables and design features) that can eliminate or reduce impacts. Which residual adverse impacts would remain after those measures are taken? Do you agree with the draft response for residual adverse impacts, and if not, why.

3. Are there additional existing and relevant data, studies, or models that should be used in developing the SRMS for the Utah SEZs (reference list of preliminary data sources)?

4. Are there any other landscape-scale data or approaches that could be used to understand the condition and trends of potentially affected resources in a regional context (e.g., species-specific data; other existing reports)?

5. Based on your responses to Question #2 and the criteria presented in the workshop discussion on identifying residual impacts that warrant compensatory mitigation, what residual impacts on resources do you think BLM should consider as warranting compensatory mitigation? For which resources would we need more information on condition and trends?

BLM would like to collect your responses at the conclusion of the UT SRMS workshop. However, if you or your organization would like to provide extended written responses to these questions, please send them to BLM_UT_Solar_Mitigation@blm.gov by May 4, 2016.